

INTERVENTION

Ĭ	Court S. Rich - AZ Bar No. 021290				
2	Eric A. Hill - AZ Bar No. 029890 Rose Law Group pc				
3	7144 E. Stetson Drive, Suite 300				
	Scottsdale. Arizona 85251				
4	Bus: (480) 505-3937 crich@roselawgroup.com				
5	ehill@roselawgroup.com				
6	Attorneys for Intervenors the Solar E	nergy Indus	stries Associa	tion and Arizona Solar Energy	
7	Industries Association				
1/1	BEFORE THE ARI	70NA CO	DBOD ATIO	N COMMISSION	
8	BEFORE THE ARI	ZONA CO	Krokanio	N COMMISSION	
9		BOYD DU		SANDRA KENNEDY	
10	CHAIRMAN (COMMISS	IONER	COMMISSIONER	
11	JUSTIN OLSON		LEA MÁR	QUEZ PETERSON	
12	COMMISSIONER		COMMISSIONER		
	IN THE MATTER OF THE	A	DOCKET	NO. E-01345A-19-0236	
13	APPLICATION OF ARIZONA PI	UBLIC)	DOCKET	NO. E-01343A-17-0230	
14	SERVICE COMPANY FOR A	Ĵ			
15	HEARING TO DETERMINE THE FAIR VALUE OF THE UTILITY	2-2			
16	PROPERTY OF THE COMPANY				
16	RATEMAKING PURPOSES, TO	FIX A)		NERGY INDUSTRIES	
17	JUST AND REASONABLE RATI	S	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	ΓΙΟΝ'S AND ARIZONA	
18	RETURN THEREON, TO APPRO			NERGY INDUSTRIES FION'S APPLICATION FOR	
19	DEVELOP SUCH RETURN.)		DINTERVENE	
20		9			
2525	P	E the Color	T T I.	-t.: A:-t: ("CEIA") 1:4-	
21	Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-3-105, the Solar Energy Industries Association ("SEIA") and its				
22	local Arizona chapter, the Arizona Solar Energy Industries Association ("AriSEIA"), hereby make				
23	their Application for Leave to Intervene (the "Application") in the above captioned matter (the				
24	"Matter"). SEIA is a non-profit trade association made up of local, national, and international solar				
25	and energy storage companies from all industry sectors and AriSEIA is a non-profit trade				
26	organization made of solar and energy storage companies and individuals with interests in the				

Arizona solar and energy storage industry.

28

In support of this Application, SEIA and AriSEIA state as follows:

I. SEIA and AriSEIA are Directly and Substantially Affected

The proposals at issue in the Matter directly and substantially impact residential and commercial consumer electric bills and related policies which directly and substantially affect the economic viability of solar and energy storage in APS' service territory. SEIA and AriSEIA's members have an interest in APS' rates and charges and the policies related to rate design impacting the value of solar and energy storage to the consumer and to all ratepayers. Thus, SEIA and AriSEIA will be directly and substantially affected by the Matter.

II. SEIA and AriSEIA's Intervention Will Not Unduly Broaden These Proceedings

Granting SEIA and AriSEIA intervenor status will not unduly broaden the issues or prejudice other parties to the Docket.

III. SEIA and AriSEIA's Interests are Unique and no Other Party can Adequately Represent their Interests

SEIA and AriSEIA's interests cannot be adequately represented by any other party. Resolution of the many issues impacted by the utility's proposal, which include, but are in no way limited to, the utility's rate base, residential rate design, commercial rate design, energy storage, demand-side resources in general, residential distributed solar, non-residential distributed solar, utility scale generation including traditional and renewable generation, the treatment of adjuster mechanisms, cost of service, the value of distributed solar, and more, will each directly and substantially affect customers' adoption of solar and energy storage products in APS service territory. Therefore, SEIA and AriSEIA request that intervenor status be granted.

i	All communications regarding this matter should be addressed to:				
2	Court Rich				
3	Eric Hill				
4	Rose Law Group pc 7144 E Stetson Dr. Suite 300				
5	Scottsdale, Arizona 85251 crich@roselawgroup.com				
6	ehill@roselawgroup.com				
7	hslaughter@roselawgroup.com				
8	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 18th day of December, 2019.				
9					
10	ROSE LAW GROUP pc				
11	/s/ Court S. Rich				
12	Court S. Rich Attorney for Solar Energy Industries Association				
13	and Arizona Solar Energy Industries Association				
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					

1	Original e-filed on				
2	this 18th day of December, 2019 with:				
3	Docket Control				
اند	Arizona Corporation Commission				
4	1200 W. Washington Street				
5	Phoenix, Arizona 85007				
6	I hereby certify that I have this day served a copy of the foregoing document on all parties of				
7	record in this proceeding by regular or electronic mail to:				
	Robin Mitchell				
8	legaldiv@azcc.gov	Patrick Black			
9	utildivservicebyemail@azcc.gov	Fennemore Craig, PC			
9	, 0	pblack@fclaw.com			
10	Melissa Krueger	lferrigni@fclaw.com			
	Pinnacle West Capitol Corporation				
11	melissa.krueger@pinnaclewest.com	Richard Gayer			
1125629	thomas.mumaw@pinnaclewest.com	rgayer@cox.net			
12	theresa.dwyer@pinnaclewest.com	The Control of the state of the			
	rodney.ross@aps.com	Daniel Pozefsky			
13	andrew.schroeder@aps.com	RUCO			
14	leland.snook@aps.com	dpozefsky@azruco.gov			
85.25	ratecase@aps.com	procedural@azruco.gov			
15	PENRONI	jfuentes@azruco.gov			
200	Adam Stafford	cfraulob@azruco.gov			
16	Western Resource Advocates				
1.7	stacy@westernresources.org	Greg Patterson			
17	adam.stafford@westernresources.org	Munger Chadwick/Competitive Power Alliance			
18	steve.michel@westernresources.org	greg@azcpa.org			
3.51	autumn.johnson@westernresources.org				
19		Robert Miller			
	Timothy Hogan	bob.miller@porascw.org			
20	ACLPI	rdjscw@gmail.com			
21	thogan@aclpi.org	million of			
21	janderson@aclpi.org	Kurt Boehm			
22	sbatten@aclpi.org	Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry			
	cpotter@aclpi.org	kboehm@bkllawfirm.com			
23	ezuckerman@swenergy.org	jkylercohn@bkllawfirm.com			
	Michele Van Quathem				
24	Law Offices of Michele Van Quathem, PLLC				
25	mvq@mvqlaw.com				
23	mvq@mvqiaw.com				
26					
27	By: /s/ Hopi L. Slaughter				
20	by. 75/ Hopi D. Staughter				
28					